

State of Utah SPENCER J. COX

Governor DEIDRE HENDERSON Lieutenant Governor

Department of **Environmental Quality**

> Kimberly D. Shellev Executive Director

DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY John K. Mackey, P.E.

Water Quality Board James Webb, Chair Michelle Kaufusi, Vice Chair Carly Castle Robert Fehr Michela Harris Joseph Havasi Trevor Heaton Jill Jones Kimberly D. Shelley John K. Mackey

Director

<u>MEMORANDUM</u>

TO:	Utah Water Quality Board
-----	--------------------------

THROUGH: John K. Mackey, PE

FROM: **Engineering Section**

DATE: September 21, 2023

SUBJECT: Finance Committee Meeting – FY 2024 Funding Requests

BACKGROUND

Due to limited fund balances the Water Quality Board has moved from bringing projects in on a first come first serve basis, to reviewing projects in batches. Applications over the past few years have exceeded available fund balances necessitating the need for the Finance Committee to meet and discuss options with staff. This meeting is used to help form staff recommendations that utilize the available funds in a way to best support the interest of Utah's water quality.

Over the past two years congressionally directed spending has utilized a portion of the base capitalization grant and directed it to projects outside of the SRF program. Due to uncertainty that this causes, Staff no longer projects future funds coming from the base capitalization grant until we receive notification on the actual amount that we are going to receive.

It should be noted that Lewiston City and South Davis Sewer District (SDSD) have previously been authorized for funding packages for alternative projects. The previous projects will need to be deauthorized in conjunction with Board authorizations of the alternative projects.

EQUIVALENCY REQUIREMENTS

Current" equivalency requirements" (sometimes internally referred to as "First Round" funding) are Build America, Buy America (BABA), American Iron and Steel (AIS), Davis-Bacon wages, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE) and Environmental Review. As part of the award of the Capitalization Grant and General Supplemental Grants (collectively "Cap Grant") from EPA, the CWSRF program must award projects that comply with equivalency requirements as funding conditions.

Page 2 September 2023 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting – FY 2024 Funding Requests

In general, equivalency requirements are expected to be fulfilled within two years of the grant award. To ensure that we always meet "Cap Grant" and equivalency requirements, all projects are assumed to be receiving "Cap Grant" funds with the associated conditions attached. The principal exceptions here are some engineering services contracts and most emergency (reserve) projects. This is the best way to ensure that when loans are ready to close, all sources of funds (i.e., "Cap Grant", UWLF, and loan repayment income) are available to the project and to consistently meet equivalency requirements.

AVAILABLE BALANCES EVALUATION

Table 1 shows the funding available for financial assistance loans through the next five fiscal years. The amounts shown are based on the current Financial Status Report (Attachment 1), its combined cash balances and cash flows for the CWSRF (federal) and UWLF (state) funds, and including loan repayment and interest revenues. Future capitalization grants are not included in Table 1 due to amount uncertainty and certain equivalency constrains described above.

Table 1 provides several important insights about available funds. First, moving across the rows it is clear that the funds are sustainable to the tune of \$20 to \$30 million per year. It's also clear that funding in the near term (FY24) is extremely limited. The main reason for that is that funds were committed to several large projects that are being built over several years (through FY25). This drew down non-Cap Grant funds that were available to advance loan closings without needing Cap Grant funds. Projects that will not draw funds until a later fiscal year have to draw on loan repayment funds only, which is the second row in Table 1.

The third row in Table 1, titled "Reserve," is the \$5 million that the Board reserves for emergency projects. These funds are earmarked from the UWLF that does not carry equivalency requirement, making them much more accessible in urgent situations.

Funding Fiscal Year	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28
Total Available Loan Funds (without future Cap Grants)	\$8.9	\$40.9	\$73.7	\$106.5	\$130.8
Total Available Loan Funds (without future "Cap Grants" – Repayments only)		\$30.1	\$51.2	\$71.9	\$96.2
Reserve (cumulative at \$5 m/year)		-\$5	-\$10	-\$15	-\$20
Minimum Available	\$8.9	\$25.1	\$41.2	\$56.9	\$76.2

Table 1: Available Loan Fund Balances (in millions)

From Table 1, staff recommends the Board authorize at least \$8.9 million but not greater than \$30.1 million in funding during FY24. Funding at the full amount of \$30.1 million anticipates that fund income will keep pace with the overall pace of construction.

Availability of all needed funds, including local share, would have to be assessed at the time of loan closing and a shortage could delay some projects.

AVAILABLE PRINCIPAL FORGIVENESS BALANCES EVALUATION

As part of the Attachment I Financial Report is an estimate of unobligated balances for Principal Forgiveness (PF) amounts. These are PF balances the Board can award to projects meet the State Affordability Criteria. The Capitalization Grant requires the SRF program to "use at least 10 percent but no more than 30 percent of the capitalization grant" as PF. The available PF for FY24 is \$3,888,490. **Staff recommends the Board award at least \$3.1 million not greater than \$3.8 million in PF** if applicable. This funding would be part of the \$8.9-\$30.1 million discussed above and not in addition to it. Table 2, below shows the PF balances by year.

Table 2: Summary of Principal Forgiveness Balances (in millions)

Funding Fiscal Year	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28
Principal Forgiveness Remaining Balance	\$3.9	\$9.4	\$15.4	\$21.3	\$21.3

PROJECTS FOR WATER QUALITY BOARD CONSIDERATION

In June 2023, the Board received applications with funding requests over \$65 Million. As there are not sufficient funds to fully fund all of the requests, staff requested to review these requests with the Finance Committee. Staff prepared a brief summary of each project that is provided in Enclosure 1 as well as a cost model for each project. Cost models are provided in Attachments 3 through 9.

Table 3, below, shows a summary of the Funding Requests. Historically, when the Board funds Planning, Engineering, or construction management services it is done from the UT Wastewater Loan Fund or Hardship Grant Fund due to Federal Architectural and Engineering procurement requirements.

Entity	Engineering & CMS	Construction & Other Costs	Total Project Cost	Requested Funding*
Monticello City	\$153,500	\$1,352,625	1,506,125	\$1,214,000
Brian Head Town	\$110,000	\$1,578,000	1,688,000	\$1,688,000
Mount Pleasant City	Not Broken Out	\$2,670,000	\$2,670,000	\$2,670,000
Wolf Creek WSID	\$1,276,788	\$9,470,149	\$10,441,937	\$6,405,000
Lewiston City	\$710,000	\$9,837,000	\$10,547,000	\$6,512,000
South Davis SD	\$6,735,000	\$47,502,000	54,237,000	\$49,237,000

Table 3 Summary of Project Requests

Page 4 September 2023 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting – FY 2024 Funding Requests

FY23 SECOND ROUND FUNDING

If full funding is authorized in the current cycle, the Board may wish to cancel second-round application period for FY23. This would mean new project funding applications would not be considered until June 2024. Planning and Design Advance applications would continue to be accepted throughout the year. In addition, changes required for prior projects authorizations would re-appear as needed. Finally, any emergency projects to prevent severe property damage will be brought on an emergency basis.

PRIORITIZATION OF APPLICANTS

To evaluate how to authorize funds the Board must determine a priority system. Staff recommends evaluating the applicants under four Tiers. Tier 1 is rural communities unable to bond on their own, ready to process to design/bidding, and where there is not a substantial growth component. Tier 2 is rural communities unable to bond on their own, <u>not</u> ready to proceed to design/bidding, and where there is not a substantial growth component. Tier 3 is rural communities likely unable to bond with a growth component. Tier 4 is large utilities with bonding capacity.

Tier 1 – Lewiston, Monticello, and Mount Pleasant City. Tier 2 - Lewiston Tier 3 - Brian Head and Wolf Creek Tier 4 - South Davis

STAFF EVALUATED FUNDING SCENARIO

Staff has come up with three possible scenarios using different levels of funding. The First Scenario is presented in Table 4 below which would maintain authorization at or below the funds available through FY 24. The table below totals about <u>§7.3 million</u> in funding.

Entity	CWSRF	Principal Forgiveness	Hardship Grant
Monticello City	\$1,214,000	\$0	\$0
Mount Pleasant City	\$2,535,000	\$0	\$135,000
Lewiston City	\$400,000	\$3,100,000	\$0
Brian Head Town	\$0	\$0	\$0
Wolf Creek WSID	\$0	\$0	\$0
South Davis SD	\$0	\$0	\$0

Table 4: Funding Scenario 1

Page 5 September 2023 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting – FY 2024 Funding Requests

The second scenario is presented in Table 5 below which would authorize the funds available through FY 24 and part of the funds available through FY 25 which is about <u>\$20.4 million</u> in funding. Scenario 2 is detailed in Attachment 2 Financial Status Report – Potential Funding Scenario 2 (\$20.4 million).

Entity	CWSRF	Principal Forgiveness	Hardship Grant		
Monticello City	\$1,214,000	\$0	\$0		
Mount Pleasant City	\$2,535,000	\$0	\$135,000		
Lewiston City	\$400,000	\$3,100,000	\$0		
Lewiston City (reserve for potential future authorization)	\$3,047,000	\$0	\$0		
Brian Head Town	\$1,900,000	\$0	\$0		
Wolf Creek WSID	\$6,404,000	\$0	\$0		
South Davis SD	\$2,000,000	\$0	\$0		

Table 5: Funding Scenario 2

The third scenario is presented in table 6 below which would authorize the funds available through FY 24 and much of the funds available through FY 25 which is about <u>\$30.1 million</u> in funding. Attachment 2 Financial Report details this Funding Scenario 3.

Table 6: Funding Scenario 3

Entity	CWSRF	Principal Forgiveness	Hardship Grant
Monticello City	\$1,214,000	\$0	\$0
Mount Pleasant City	\$2,535,000	\$0	\$135,000
Lewiston City	\$400,000	\$3,100,000	\$0
Lewiston City (reserve for potential future authorization)	\$3,047,000	\$0	\$0
Brian Head Town	\$1,900,000	\$0	\$0
Wolf Creek WSID	\$6,404,000	\$0	\$0
South Davis SD	\$11,700,000	\$0	\$0

ENCLOSURE 1

MONTICELLO CITY

Monticello City is requesting funding from the Water Quality Board in the amount of **§1,214,000** to upgrade the sewer system by replacing several sections of the system that have reached the end of its service life. The City of Monticello has a sewer system with nearly 28 miles of sewer pipeline which provides sewer service to 813 connections or approximately 1,162 equivalent residential units (ERUs). The majority of the system is clay pipe that was installed in the 1940's. This project would replace the most critical parts of the sewer system that have reached the end of their useful life. The City has set aside its ARPA funding as a partial match for this project the City currently has \$233,032 set aside for this project. They also had applied to the Governor's Office of Planning and Budget Local Assistance Matching Grant Program, but were unsuccessful. The City has completed a Master Plan and is preparing plans and specifications for bidding Spring 2024.

The Monticello City Sewer Improvements project will address needed replacement to the sewer system. Staff is supportive of this project as a loan from the WQB as it would replace critical sections of the sewer system.

ADDITIONAL FUNDING OPTIONS:

- 1. The Board could fully fund the project with a loan.
- 2. The Board could fund a portion of the project and the City would need to reduce the scope of the project to fit the available funding.
- 3. The Board could instruct the City to pursue funding from the Permanent Community Impact Board (CIB) or USDA-RD.

MOUNT PLEASANT CITY

Mount Pleasant City is requesting funding from the Water Quality Board in the amount \$2,670,000 for new construction and upgrades to their existing wastewater treatment facility per the conclusions and recommendations from their 2022 Master Plan. This request is for the following: construction of a new headworks building, including mechanical fine screen (\$1,150,000); installation of a septage receiving station at headworks (\$270,000); and bringing cell #3 of the existing total containment lagoon system on-line to increase capacity (\$1,250,000).

The existing lagoon system does not have a headworks treatment system, and has seen an increase in non-biodegradable objects entering the system. The 2022 Master Plan recommended the construction of a new headworks facility to handle these solids. Septage receiving capabilities were also recommended with the construction of the headworks facility, as septage is not handled by the lagoon treatment system and is currently dumped into their abandoned Cell #3. A septage receiving station would incorporate septage into the treatment system, as well as provide more accessibility for septage haulers using the facility. Finally, the Master Plan recommended expanding lagoon capacity to meet future growth needs, as their 2-cell system is approaching capacity. Page 7 September 2023 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting – FY 2024 Funding Requests

To achieve this, the City plans to re-line the abandoned Cell #3 as the original clay liner is damaged with vegetation/cracking and needs replacement. An HDPE geomembrane liner is recommended. The City has completed a Master Plan and is preparing plans and specifications for bidding Spring 2024.

Staff recognizes the importance of small communities providing septage receiving stations and service which protects their wastewater facility while also offering a vital service which protects the greater area surrounding their community, by providing for the feasible disposal of septage which results from proper maintenance of onsite systems in the surrounding area. Sometimes these facilities can earn considerable funds for a community but they can often also just be an additional cost to a community. Staff recommends the Board consider funding up to 50% of the septage receiving station from hardship grant funds, which would amount to a \$135,000 grant.

ADDITIONAL FUNDING OPTIONS:

- 1. The Board could fully fund the project with a loan.
- 2. The Board could fund the project with a loan and a \$135,000 grant for the construction of the septage receiving station.
- 3. The Board could instruct the City to pursue funding from the Permanent Community Impact Board (CIB) or USDA-RD.

LEWISTON CITY

Lewiston City is requesting funding from the Water Quality Board in the amount of \$6,512,000 to upgrade the sewer system and connect its collection system to the Richmond MBR treatment plant. It will address current and future treatment needs by pumping sewer flows to the Richmond City mechanical treatment plant, thereby eliminating the current Lewiston treatment lagoons. The City feels that this regionalization of treatment will be a long-term solution for the community. Effluent quality will be greatly improved by regionalizing and treating the city's sewer in Richmond's MBR. This also opens up Type 1 reuse opportunities.

A total of \$10,547,000 is needed to fund the project. In addition to the Board fund, the City hopes to re-authorize funds from United States Department of Agriculture-Rural Development (USDA-RD) of a \$2,052,000 1.875% interest 40-year loan and \$483,000 of grant funds for a total of \$2,535,000. Furthermore, Lewiston City now has \$1,500,000 in the sewer fund from the sale of land for commercial development. The City is ready to increase the monthly sewer rates due to the regional wastewater treatment plant in Richmond City. Lewiston has requested the Board largely support the project with principal forgiveness authorization which will allow them the opportunity to explore additional funding form USDA-RD. Staff recommends all funding packages include some loan funds and does not recommend a funding package which contains only principal forgiveness. The City has completed a Preliminary Engineering Report and the intends to begin plans and specifications preparation after completing negotiations with Richmond for impact fees and monthly treatment fees. Richmond is currently in the process of re-evaluating their impact fees and anticipates completing this analysis sometime around Spring 2024.

Page 8 September 2023 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting – FY 2024 Funding Requests

Staff is supportive of this project but would recommend significant special conditions be placed on an authorization. Staff recommends at a minimum the Board require Lewiston City:

- Must reappear in front of the Board no later than April 2024 if all necessary funds have not been secured by that time.
- Hold a public meeting detailing the project and the projected monthly user rates prior to the April Board meeting.
- Draft an interlocal agreement with Richmond including monthly treatment costs and impact fees to be collected prior to the April Board meeting.

ADDITIONAL FUNDING OPTION:

- 1. The Board could authorize up to \$3,100,000 in principal forgiveness and up to \$3,447,000 in loan.
- 2. The Board could authorize up to \$3,100,000 in principal forgiveness and \$400,000 in loan with special conditions. The Board could reserve \$3,047,000 for future funding after Lewiston explores additional funding from USDA-RD.
- 3. The Board could reserve up to \$3,100,000 in principal forgiveness and \$3,447,000 in loan funds and instruct Lewiston to return in 2024 once greater project details are available.
- 4. The Board could instruct Lewiston to fully exhaust pursuing additional funding from other sources such as USDA-RD.

BRIAN HEAD TOWN

Brian Head is currently constructing water lines into annexed areas of the town that do not have water utilities. The local health department is initiating a new policy that will not allow the issuance of septic permits to households that intend to employ water hauling as a culinary water solution. While they are constructing the water lines the Town would like to install wastewater lines. This would remove the need for septic systems in the area. The Town would like to focus on the condensed version of the project that includes Snow Shoe Drive, Toboggan Circle, and Ponderosa Drive. These areas include 20% exiting households and 80% development. This project requires \$1,306,249 to complete that includes 30% contingency. The MAGI is not included in the cost model for this project, in Attachment 5, as the cost of sewer service as a percent of MAGI does not accurately portray hardship for this area due to the high percentage of second homes in the area. To evaluate hardship staff would require an evaluation of the service population and their incomes. Therefore, staff would suggest that any funding for this project be offered as a loan. The Town is preparing plans and specifications for bidding Spring 2024.

ADDITIONAL FUNDING OPTIONS:

- 1. The Board could fully fund the project with a loan.
- 2. The Board could instruct the City to pursue funding from other sources such as the Permanent Community Impact Board (CIB) or USDA-RD.

WOLF CREEK WATER & SEWER IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

Wolf Creek Water and Sewer Improvement District (Wolf Creek) is requesting funding from the Water Quality Board in the amount <u>\$6,588,000</u> for the construction of a reuse storage pond and distribution pipeline and pump station. Wolf Creek plans to land apply their treated effluent at the golf course in town. Wolf Creek installed an MBR process back in 2008 which replaced the old lagoons which were constructed in the 1980s. The current MBR system is designed for 450,000 gallons per day. Wolf Creek sits in a Category 1 watershed and currently does not discharge to surface waters. Wolf Creek's disposal methods are evaporation from their storage ponds, Type I reuse to the golf course, and two RIBs for which Wolf Creek has an operating permit.

Wolf Creek is experiencing pressure from intense development pressures in the area. This development pressure has spread the disposal capacity and water supply very thin and Wolf Creek lacks the amount of culinary water necessary to supply the projected growth. Wolf Creek is located within a Category 1 Water and surface water discharge is prohibited. Thus, Wolf Creek must discharge to groundwater or reuse treated effluent at agronomic rates. Wolf Creek has the capability to produce Type I treated effluent for reuse, and Wolf Creek would like to expand the use of Type I reuse water on their golf course.

Type I reuse is an essential mechanism for disposal of Wolf Creek's treated effluent. Funding this reuse storage, pump station, and pipeline will allow Wolf Creek to have more disposal capacity with minimal impact in the Category 1 watershed. It will also help increase the storage and disposal capacity of the treatment plant, which will be hooking up more homes to sewer in the future. Wolf Creek has completed a Facilities Plan and is preparing plans and specifications for bidding Spring 2024.

Staff is supportive of funding this project as a loan from the Water Quality Board. Wolf Creek has indicated that they are willing to take any amount of loan that is feasible for the Board.

ADDITIONAL FUNDING OPTIONS:

- 1. The Board could fully fund the project with a loan.
- 2. The Board could fully fund the project with FY24 Revolved Balances. This might require Wolf Creek to secure a construction loan as a bond anticipation note (BAN).
- 3. The Board could fund a portion of the project and the City would need to obtain a loan on the market.
- 4. The Board could instruct the City to pursue funding from other sources such as the Permanent Community Impact Board (CIB) or USDA-RD.

SOUTH DAVIS SEWER DISTRICT

South Davis Sewer District (SDSD) is requesting funding from the Water Quality Board in the amount of \$49,237,000 to install a moving bed biological reactor (MBBR) with chemical addition at their North Plant. SDSD owns two wastewater treatment plants and provides sewer services to 34,096 residential households. Currently, the applicant has a Board authorized loan of \$14,176,000 with an interest rate of 0.25% and a 20-year term, including \$1,000,000 in principal reserved for SRF eligible nonpoint source project funding, but they have changed their scope of the project and prior to authorizing funds for any of the projects these funds need to be de-obligated and this project will need a new authorization.

SDSD is facing more stringent effluent limits for phosphorus and ammonia. South Davis Sewer District has a compliance schedule for the North Plant to reduce their ammonia discharge by September 1, 2026. In order for the facility to reduce their ammonia discharge an upgrade is required. This upgrade has a total estimated cost of \$54,237,000. SDSD has completed a Facilities Plan and is preparing plans and specifications for bidding Spring 2024.

The North Plant intends to upgrade nutrient removal, solids treatment, and solids handling. This upgrade as determined through an alternatives evaluation requires the installation of a grit removal system, an MBBR with a concrete basin along with an aeration grid and blowers to aerate the basin. A blower building will be needed and an upgrade to their pumps will be required to pump to the new MBBR. Finally, a new digester tank will be installed to handle the additional solids. Staff is supportive of funding a portion of this project as a loan from the Water Quality Board. SDSD has indicated that they are willing to take any amount of loan that is feasible for the Board, and receive the rest of the funding through private loans.

ADDITIONAL FUNDING OPTIONS:

1. The Board could consider authorizing any amount up to the requested amount.

2. The Board could opt not to provide funding at this time, but leave the door open if additional Board Funds become available to have SDSD come back at that time.

DWQ-2023-123081

	Sta	ate Fiscal Year	Sta	ate Fiscal Year
STATE REVOLVING FUND (SRF)		2024		2025
CAP Grant Base Program				
Capitalization Grant Awards (FY22)	Ś	-		
Future Capitalization Grant	Ś	3,952,000		
State Cap Grant Match (FY22)	\$ \$ \$	-	\$	-
Future State Cap Grant Match	Ś	790,400	Ś	-
CAP Grant General Supplemental	· ·			
General Supplemental Grants (FY22)	\$	9,378,000	s	-
Future General Supplemental Grant	\$ \$ \$	10,983,000	\$	11,234,025
State General Supplemental Grants Match (FY22)	\$	937,800		
Future State Gen. Sup Grants Match	\$	1,098,300	\$	2,246,805
SRF - 2nd Round				
Account Balance	\$	19,178,127	\$	(12,695,209
Interest Earnings at 5.1762%	\$	909,973	\$ \$	
Loan Repayments (5255)	\$ \$ \$	8,602,482	\$	17,477,115
Total Funds Available	\$	55,830,082	\$	18,262,736
CWSRF Program Obligations				
Admin Expenses for all CAP Grant Awards	\$	(1,037,080)	\$	(894,361
Cap Grant Principal Forgiveness (PF) (FY18-22)	\$ \$ \$ \$	(13,534,600)		
Future Cap Grant (PF portion)	\$	(1,185,600)	\$	-
General Supplemental Grants (PF portion)	\$	(4,595,220)		
Future General Supplemental Grants (PF portion)	\$	(5,381,670)	\$	(5,504,672
Project Obligations				
Moab City	\$	(80,000)	\$	-
Provo City 262	\$	(8,800,500)	\$	-
Provo City 262b	\$	(1,855,621)	\$	-
South Salt Lake City (A)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	(524,000)		-
Millville City Loan	\$	(5,146,000)	\$	-
Mountain Green	\$	(6,949,000)	\$	-
Payson City	\$	(13,425,000)	\$	-
Loan Authorizations				
Millville Refinance Loan	\$	(1,261,000)		
Long Valley	\$ \$ \$	(1,250,000)		
North Logan	\$	(3,500,000)		
Planned Projects (6)				
South Davis*				
Mt Pleasant*				
Monticello*				
Lewiston*				
Wolf Creek*				
Brian Head*				
CWSRF Obligations	\$	(68,525,291)	\$	(6,399,033
CWSRF Remaining Loan Balance	\$	(12,695,209)	\$	11,863,703

ATTACHMENT 1- Financial Status Report

Page 12 September 2023 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting – FY 2024 Funding Requests

Addt'l Subsidy - Drinsing Forstygness				
Addt'l Subsidy - Principal Forgiveness PF Balances (max for FY18-22)	ć	13,534,600	ć	2 000 400
Future Cap Grant (PF portion)	Ş ¢	1,185,600	\$ \$	3,888,490
General Supplemental Balances (PF portion)	\$ \$ \$	4,595,220	Ş	-
Future General Supplemental Grants (PF portion)	ç	5,381,670	\$	5,504,672
Project Obligations	Ş	3,301,070	Ş	3,304,072
South Salt Lake City (A)	ć	(3,760,000)	ć	
	\$ \$ \$ \$	(3,604,000)		-
Millville City Provo City	Ş	(7,000,000)		
	Ş ¢			-
Payson City Millville City Refinance	Ş	(1,000,000)		-
	Ş	(3,750,000)	Ş	-
Addt'l Subsidy Authorizations Hanksville	\$	(1.604.600)		
	Ş	(1,694,600)		
Planned Projects				
Principal Forgiveness Obligations	\$	(20,808,600)	\$	-
Principal Forgiveness Remaining Balance	\$	3,888,490	\$	9,393,162
UTAH WASTEWATER LOAN FUND (UWLF)	Sta	ate Fiscal Year	Sta	ate Fiscal Year
		2024		2025
Funds Available	÷.	20 241 765	~	17 675 401
UWLF	\$	30,341,765	\$	17,675,481
Sales Tax Revenue	\$	2,532,524	\$	3,587,500
Loan Repayments (5260) Total Funds Available	\$ \$	1,806,092	\$ \$	2,606,859
General Obligations	Ş	34,680,381	Ş	23,869,840
State Match Transfers Base Cap Grant	\$	(790,400)	\$	
	\$			-
State Match Transfers Gen. Supplemental Grant	Ş	(937,800)		(2.246.905
State Match Transfers Gen. Supplemental Grant	\$ \$	(1,098,300)		(2,246,805
DWQ Administrative Expenses	Ş	(1,925,400)	\$	(1,925,400
Project Obligations	ć	(4.901.000)	ć	
South Salt Lake City (B)	\$ \$	(4,891,000)		-
South Salt Lake City (C)	Ş	(982,000)	Ş	-
Loan Authorizations				
Spanish Fork	\$ \$ \$	(4,500,000)		
Hanksville	Ş	(350,000)		
Long Valley	T	(220,000)		
Grantsville	\$ \$	(1,000,000)		
Kane County	Ş	(310,000)		
Planned Projects				
Total Obligations	\$	(17,004,900)	\$	(4,172,205
UWLF Remaining Loan Balance	Ş	17,675,481	Ş	19,697,635
TOTAL LOAN FUND BALANCE	\$	8,868,762	\$	40,954,499
PROJECT RESERVE	\$		\$	(5,000,000
		0.000.700		
TOTAL AVAILABLE LOAN FUNDS	\$	8,868,762	\$	35,954,499

ATTACHMENT 2-Financial Status Report – Potential Funding Scenario 2 (\$20.4 million)

	Sta	ate Fiscal Year	St	ate Fiscal Year
STATE REVOLVING FUND (SRF)		2024		2025
CAP Grant Base Program				
Capitalization Grant Awards (FY22)	\$	-		
Future Capitalization Grant	\$	3,952,000		
State Cap Grant Match (FY22)	\$	-,,	\$	-
Future State Cap Grant Match	Ś	790,400	Ś	-
CAP Grant General Supplemental	-	,	-	
General Supplemental Grants (FY22)	\$	9,378,000	\$	-
Future General Supplemental Grant	ŝ	10,983,000	\$	11,234,025
State General Supplemental Grants Match (FY22)	ŝ	937,800	-	, ,,
Future State Gen. Sup Grants Match	Š	1,098,300	\$	2,246,805
SRF - 2nd Round	Ť	2,000,000	Ť	2,210,002
Account Balance	\$	19,178,127	\$	(18,575,704)
Interest Earnings at 5.1762%	ŝ	909,973	\$	(10,575,761)
Loan Repayments (5255)	Š	8,370,987	\$	17,272,300
Total Funds Available	Š	55,598,587	ŝ	12,177,426
CWSRF Program Obligations	Ť	55,556,567	Ŷ	12,277,420
Admin Expenses for all CAP Grant Awards	\$	(1,037,080)	\$	(894,361)
Cap Grant Principal Forgiveness (PF) (FY18-22)	Š	(13,534,600)	Ť	(004,002)
Future Cap Grant (PF portion)	Š	(1,185,600)	\$	-
General Supplemental Grants (PF portion)	ŝ	(4,595,220)	Ť	
Future General Supplemental Grants (PF portion)	Š	(5,381,670)	\$	(5,504,672)
Project Obligations	Ť	(5,501,676)	Ť	(3,501,072)
Moab City	\$	(80,000)	\$	-
Provo City 262	ŝ	(8,800,500)		-
Provo City 262b	ŝ	(1,855,621)		-
South Salt Lake City (A)	ŝ	(524,000)		-
Millville City Loan	ŝ	(5,146,000)		-
Mountain Green	ŝ	(6,949,000)		-
Payson City	Š	(13,425,000)	ŝ	-
Loan Authorizations	-	(,	-	
Millville Refinance Loan	\$	(1,261,000)		
Long Valley	ŝ	(1,250,000)		
North Logan	ŝ	(3,500,000)		
Planned Projects	Ť	(2,200,000)		
South Davis*			Ś	(2,000,000)
Mt Pleasant*	S	(2,535,000)		(-))
Monticello*	\$ \$	(1,214,000)		
Wolf Creek*		(2)22 ()220)	\$	(6,404,000)
Brian Head*	\$	(1,900,000)		(0) 10 ()000)
Lewiston*		(2)232,220)	\$	(400,000)
Lewiston (bidding reserve)*			ŝ	(3,047,000)
				(2)211/222
CWSRF Obligations	\$	(74,174,291)	\$	(18,250,033)
CWSRF Remaining Loan Balance	ŝ	(18,575,704)	\$	(6,072,608)

Page 14 September 2023 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting – FY 2024 Funding Requests

Addt'l Subsidy - Principal Forgiveness				
PF Balances (max for FY18-22)	s	13,534,600	\$	788,490
Future Cap Grant (PF portion)	S	1,185,600	s	700,450
General Supplemental Balances (PF portion)	\$	4,595,220	\$	-
	S		\$	E E04 670
Future General Supplemental Grants (PF portion) Project Obligations	2	5,381,670	\$	5,504,672
· · ·	6	12 760 000	s	
South Salt Lake City (A)	\$ \$	(3,760,000)		-
Millville City	\$	(3,604,000)		-
Provo City	S	(7,000,000)		-
Payson City		(1,000,000)		-
Millville City Refinance	\$	(3,750,000)	\$	-
Addt'l Subsidy Authorizations	-	14 594 599		
Hanksville	\$	(1,694,600)		
Planned Projects	-			
Lewiston	\$	(3,100,000)		
Principal Forgiveness Obligations	S	(23,908,600)	\$	-
Principal Forgiveness Remaining Balance	ŝ	788,490	\$	6,293,162
Frincipal Forgiveness Nethalining balance	3	766,490	2	0,293,102
	Sta	ate Fiscal Year	St	ate Fiscal Year
UTAH WASTEWATER LOAN FUND (UWLF)		2024		2025
Funds Available				
UWLF	\$	30,341,765	\$	17,675,481
Sales Tax Revenue	S	2,532,524		3,587,500
Loan Repayments (5260)	S	1,806,092	\$	2,606,859
Total Funds Available	\$	34,680,381	\$	23,869,840
General Obligations	1	, ,		
State Match Transfers Base Cap Grant	S	(790,400)	S	-
State Match Transfers Gen. Supplemental Grant	\$	(937,800)		-
State Match Transfers Gen. Supplemental Grant	\$	(1,098,300)		(2,246,805)
DWQ Administrative Expenses	Ś	(1,925,400)		(1,925,400)
Project Obligations	1			
South Salt Lake City (B)	\$	(4,891,000)	\$	-
South Salt Lake City (C)	Ś	(982,000)		-
Loan Authorizations	Ť			
Spanish Fork	\$	(4,500,000)		
Hanksville	S	(350,000)		
Long Valley	\$	(220,000)		
Grantsville	\$	(1,000,000)		
Kane County	S	(310,000)		
Planned Projects	1°	(020,000)		
namea nojecto	\$	-		
	Ť			
Total Obligations	\$	(17,004,900)	\$	(4,172,205)
UWLF Remaining Loan Balance	\$	17,675,481	\$	19,697,635
	-		~	40.040.400
TOTAL LOAN FUND BALANCE	\$	(111,733)		19,918,189
			C .	(5,000,000)
PROJECT RESERVE	\$	-	\$	(3,000,000)

Page 15 September 2023 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting – FY 2024 Funding Requests

ATTACHMENT 3 - Monticello Cost Model

							Monti		•						
							20 Year Stat	tic C	Cost Model						
										1					
						<u> </u>				<u> </u>					
												Current Custome	r Base & User		
			oject Costs		Sewer							Charges			ERC
Admin/L	-		-		\$ 23,000										
Pre-Con					\$ 60,500							Total ERC			67
		gine	ering Services	5	\$ 70,000										
Construc					\$ 1,127,000							MAGI (Monticello	. ,	\$	40,40
Continge	ency				\$ 225,625							1.4% MAGI Sev	ver Bill:	\$	47.1
Total Pro	oject Co	ost:			\$ 1,506,125										
												Current Sewer Bill		\$	18.6
			Project	Funding								EXISTING DEBT		\$	17,00
Local Co	ntributio	m			\$ 60,000							O&M Expenses		\$	183,78
ARPA Fu	unds				\$ 233,032										
WQB Fu	unding F	Requ	sted		\$ 1,213,093										
Total Pro	oject C	oject Cost: \$1,506,125							Funding Condition						
												Loan Repayment 7	Term:		2
ESTIMA	ATED (COS	T OF SEW	ER SERVICE											
	Grant		Loan	Interest	CIB Loan		Annual Sewer		Existing		Total Annual	Monthly Sewer	Sewer Cost as a]	Financia
А	mount		Amount	Rate	Debt Service		O&M Cost		Debt Service		Sewer Cost	Cost/ERU	% of MAGI		Burde
\$	-	\$	1,213,093	0.00%	\$60,655	\$	183,784	\$	17,000	\$	261,439	32.42	0.96%		Lo
\$	-	\$	1,213,093	0.25%	\$62,259	\$	183,784	\$	17,000	\$	263,044	32.62	0.97%		Lo
\$	-	\$	1,213,093	0.50%	\$63,889	\$	183,784	\$	17,000	\$	264,674	32.82	0.97%		Lo
\$	-	\$	1,213,093	1.00%	\$67,224	\$	183,784	\$	17,000	\$	268,008	33.24	0.99%		Lo
\$	-	\$	1,213,093	1.50%	\$70,657	\$	183,784	\$	17,000	\$	271,442	33.66	1.00%		Lo
\$	-	\$	1,213,093	1.75%	\$72,411	\$	183,784	\$	17,000	\$	273,195	33.88	1.01%		Lo
\$	-	\$	1,213,093	2.00%	\$74,189	\$	183,784	\$	17,000	\$	274,973	34.10	1.01%		Lo
\$	-	\$	1,213,093	2.50%	\$77,816	\$	183,784	\$	17,000	\$	278,601	34.55	1.03%		Lo
\$	-	\$	1,213,093	3.00%	\$81,539	\$	183,784	\$	17,000	\$	282,323	35.01	1.04%		Lo
\$	-	\$	1,213,093	3.50%	\$85,355	\$	183,784	\$	17,000	\$	286,139	35.48	1.05%		Lo
\$	-	\$	1,213,093	4.00%	\$89,262	\$	183,784	\$	17,000	\$	290,046	35.97	1.07%		Lo
\$	-	\$	1,213,093	4.10%	\$90,054		183,784		17,000		290,838	36.07	1.07%		Lo

Page 16 September 2023 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting – FY 2024 Funding Requests

ATTACHMENT 4 - Mount Pleasant Cost Model

Mt Pleasant - Water Quality Board 20 Year Loan Static Cost Model

Project Costs				
Legal/Bonding			S	30,000
DWQ Loan Origination Fee			s	27,000
Engineering - Design & CMS (Inch	uded in cons	struction cost)	
Headworks Building	S	1,150,000		
Septage Receiving Station	S	270,000		
HDPE Liner in Cell #3	S	1,250,000		
Construction subtotal			\$ 2	,670,000
Contingency (Included in constructi	s			
Total Project Cost:	\$ 2	,700,000		

Project Funding	
Local Contribution	S -
Amount to be Funded	\$ 2,700,000
WQB Grant	S -
Total Project Cost:	\$ 2,700,000

Current Customer Base & User Chan	rges	
Initial Total Customer (ERU's)	1,266	
MAGI for Mt Pleasant (2020):	\$40,800	
Affordable Monthly Rate at 1.4%	\$47.60	
Impact Fee (per ERU):	\$1,557	
Current Monthly Fee (per ERU)	\$22.50	avg monthly bill
Debt Service	\$0	
Annual O&M expense	\$300,000	

Funding Conditions	
Loan Repayment Terr	n: 20
Reserve Funding Perio	xd: 6

ESTIMATED COST OF SEWER SERVICE

WQB Grant	WQB Loan	Private Loan Amount	WQB Loan Interest Rate	Private Loan Interest Rate*			Private Loan Debt Service	Annual Sewer	Existing Debt Service	Total Annual Sewer Cost	Monthly Sewer Cost/ ERU	Sewer Cost as % of MAGI	Financial Burden
	0	2,700,000	0.00%	4.50%	0	0	207,566	300,000	0	507,566	33.41	0.98%	LOW
135,000	2,565,000	0	0.00%	4.50%	128,250	32,063	0	300,000	0	460,313	30.30	0.89%	LOW
135,000	2,565,000	0	0.50%	4.50%	135,089	33,772	0	300,000	0	468,862	30.86	0.91%	LOW
135,000	2,565,000	0	1.00%	4.50%	142,140	35,535	0	300,000	0	477,675	31.44	0.92%	LOW
135,000	2,565,000	0	1.50%	4.50%	149,400	37,350	0	300,000	0	486,750	32.04	0.94%	LOW
135,000	2,565,000	0	2.00%	4.50%	156,867	39,217	0	300,000	0	496,084	32.65	0.96%	LOW
135,000	2,565,000	0	2.50%	4.50%	164,537	41,134	0	300,000	0	505,672	33.29	0.98%	LOW
135,000	2,565,000	0	3.00%	4.50%	172,408	43,102	0	300,000	0	515,510	33.93	1.00%	LOW
135,000	2,565,000	0	3.50%	4.50%	180,476	45,119	0	300,000	0	525,595	34.60	1.02%	LOW

*Staff Estimate

FNI Calculation								
	Local Value	State Value	Score	Weighting Factor	Weighting Score	Table **		
Unemployment Rate	3.7%	3.6%	205	4	8.20	\$2301		
Poverty Rate	18.6%	9.1%	2.90	2.5	7.25	S1701		
Threshold LQI	\$ 26,957	\$ 35,445	196	2.5	4.90	B19080		
Population Growth Rate	9.0%	18.6%	197	1	1.97	B01008		
Financial Need Indicator (Sum	of weighted Sco	ores/10)			2.23			

Financial Burden Matrix								
Modified MAG								
FNI	Below 1.4%	1.4% to 1.75%	1.75% to 2.1%	2.1% to 2.45	Above 2.45			
Below 1.5	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	High			
1.5 to 2.5	Low	Medium	Medium	High	High			
Above 2.5	Medium	Medium	High	High	High			

2020 5 year ACS Table

** https://data.census.gov/cedsci/

Page 17 September 2023 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting – FY 2024 Funding Requests

ATTACHMENT 5 - Lewiston Cost Model

Project Costs Legal - Right of Way Lega1Bonding - DWQ Loan Origins Engineering - Desig				30 Year Loan Static	G . 16 1								
Legal - Right of Way Legal/Bonding - DWQ Loan Origina				30 Tear Loan State	Cost Mode	l - Connect to Rich	mond M	BR Treati	nent Plant		-		
Legal - Right of Way Legal/Bonding - DWQ Loan Origina													
Legal/Bonding - DWQ Loan Origina										Current Cu	stomer Ba	ise & User Chai	rges
DWQ Loan Origina	iy .			\$ 60,000						Initial Total C	ustomer (E	ERU's)	300
				\$ 59.000						MAGI for Le	wiston Cit	v(2021):	\$47.000
	nation Fee			\$ 60,000				1		Affordable N			\$54.83
<u> </u>				\$ 355,000			1	1		Impact Fee (\$8.06
Engineering - CM				\$ 325,000						Current Mont			\$53.00
Engineering - Plan				\$ 30,000						Existing Debt			\$55.00
Capacity Purchas		d		\$ 2280.000						Annual O&N		2	\$109.00
Environmental	se io meninon	u		\$ 59,000						Richmond Im			\$7.95
Legal Services				\$ 119.000								nond's Treatment	\$16920
Construction - Pu	Ci uli cu		\$ 1,700.000	3 119,000						Monthly Trea			\$10920
							-			Monthly Trea	timent to R	ac nmond	34.
Construction - Co Construction - Mob			\$ 1,500,000 \$ 500,000							Funding Cor	n dition c		
Construction - 1000										<u> </u>			~
Construction - 8" P			\$ 1,500,000							Loan Repayn			30
Lonstruction - Deco	commission :La	-	\$ 800,000							Reserve Fund	dang Period	1	10
		Construction	subtotal	\$ 6,000,000									
Contingency(21%)				\$ 1,200,000						USDA-RD Fun	-		
Total Project Cos	st:			\$ 10,547,000						USDA-RD L			- 40
										USDA-RD I	nterest Rat	e	1.8755
Project Funding													
Requested Fundi				\$ 6,512,000									
Lewiston Sewer Fund				\$ 1,500,000									
USDA-RD Existing G				\$ 483.000									
USDA-RD Existing Lo	loan			\$ 2,052,000									
Total Project Cos	st:			\$ 10,547,000									
E STIMATED CO	OST OF SEW	ER SERVICE	Ξ										
									Annual		Monthly		
Principa1	WQB Grant		Existing RD	WOB Loan Interest	RD Loan	WQBL oan Debt	WQB	RD Loan	0&M-	Total Annual	Sewer	Sewer Cost as	
Forgiveness	Percent	WQB Loan	Loan	Rate	Interest	Service	Loan	Debt	collection &	Sewer Cost	Cost/	% of MAGI	Financial Burden
					Rate		Reserve	Service	Treatment		ERU		1
1,200,000	18%	5.312.000	2,052,000	0.00%	1.875%	177.067	26,560	91,722	278,200	573.549	159.32	4.07%	HIGH
1,500,000	23%	5.012.000	2.052.000	0.00%	1.875%	167.067	25,060	91,722	278,200	562.049	156.12	3.99%	HIGH
2.000.000	31%	4.512.000	2.052.000	0.00%	1.875%	150,400	22,560	91,722	278,200	542,882	150.80	3.85%	HIGH
2,177,500	33%	4,334,500	2.052.000	0.00%	1.875%	144.483	21,673	91,722	278,200	536.078	148.91	3.80%	HIGH
3.100.000	48%	3 412 000	2.052.000	0.00%	1.875%	113.733	17.060	91 722	278,200	500 715	139.09	3.55%	HIGH
3,800,000	58%	2,712,000	2,052,000	0.00%	1.875%	90,400	13,560	91,722	278,200	473,882	131.63	3.36%	HIGH
5,000,000	3070	2,712,000	2,002,000	0.0076	1.0/5/6	30,400	15,000	91,722	2/8,200	475,002	151.05	5.50/6	man
FNI Calculation I	LewistonCity									Financi	al Burdar 3	Matrix	
ENICAICUATION 1	LewistonCity				Weighting				1	Financial Burden Matrix			
		Local Value	State Value	Score	Factor	Weighting Score	Table **				Modified	MACI	
Un employment Rate		0.5%	3.6%	1.00	4	4.00		ENI	Below 1.4%	1.4% to 1.75%	1.75% to	2.1% to 2.45	Above 2.45
					,		S2301				2.1%		
Poverty Rate		3.2%	8.8%	1.00	2.5	2.50	S1701	Below 1.5	Low	low	Medium	Medium	High
Threshold LQI		\$42,063	\$37,685	1.00	2.5	2.50	B19080	1.5 to 2.5	Medium	Medium	Medium	High	High
Population Growth Ra		13.6%	19.0%	2.43	1	2.43	B01003	Above 2.5	Medium	Medium	High	High	High
Financia1Need Indica 2020 5 year ACS Tab		ghted Scores/10))			1.14 a.census.gov/cedsci/							

Page 18 September 2023 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting – FY 2024 Funding Requests

ATTACHMENT 6 - Brian Head Cost Model

S	30,000
\$	20,000
\$	108,807
s	1,176,178
S	352,853
\$	1,687,838
\$	381,589
\$	1,306,249
S	-
\$	1,687,838
	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

Initial Total Customer (ERU's)	1,400
MAGI for Brian Head Town (2020):	N/A
Affordable Monthly Rate at 1.4%	N/A

Current Customer Base & User Charges

Affordable Monthly Rate at 1.4%	N/A
Impact Fee (per ERU):	\$1,097 \$42.00
Current Monthly Fee (per ERU)	\$42.00
Debt Service	\$0
Annual O&M Cost of Collections	\$518,163
Cost of Treatment	\$177,402

Funding Conditions

Loan Repayment Term:	30
Reserve Funding Period:	6

ESTIMATED COST OF SEWER SERVICE

		WQB Loan	WQB Loan		Annual Sewer	Existing	Total	Monthly
WQB PF	WQB Loan	Interest Rate	Debt Service	WQB Loan Reserve	Cost	Debt	Annual	Sewer Cost/
		Interest Itale	Debt Service		COSt	Service	Sewer Cost	ERU
0	1,306,249	0.00%	43,542	10,885	695,565	0	749,992	44.64
0	1,306,249	0.50%	46,997	11,749	695,565	0	754,312	44.90
0	1,306,249	1.00%	50,615	12,654	695,565	0	758,833	45.17
0	1,306,249	1.50%	54,391	13,598	695,565	0	763,554	45.45
0	1,306,249	2.00%	58,324	14,581	695,565	0	768,470	45.74
0	1,306,249	2.50%	62,410	15,602	695,565	0	773,577	46.05
0	1,306,249	3.00%	66,644	16,661	695,565	0	778,870	46.36
0	1,306,249	3.50%	71,023	17,756	695,565	0	784,343	46.69
0	1,306,249	4.00%	75,541	18,885	695,565	0	789,991	47.02

FNI Calculation										
	Local Value	State Value	Score	Weighting Factor	Weighting Score	Table **				
Unemployment Rate	0.0%	3.5%	1.00	4	4.00	S2301				
Poverty Rate	17.1%	8.8%	2.66	2.5	6.65	S1701				
Threshold LQI	\$ 11,250	\$ 37,685	3.00	2.5	7.50	B19080				
Population Growth Rate	96.0%	19.0%	1.00	1	1.00	B01003				
Financial Need Indicator	(Sum of weig	hted Scores/10)			1.92					

Financial Burden Matrix										
	Modified MAGI									
FNI	Below 1.4%	1.4% to 1.75%	1.75% to 2.1%	2.1% to 2.45	Above 2.45					
Below 1.5	Low Low		Medium	Medium	High					
1.5 to 2.5	Low	Medium	Medium	High	High					
Above 2.5	Medium	Medium	High	High	High					

** https://data.census.gov/cedsci/

Page 19 September 2023 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting – FY 2024 Funding Requests

ATTACHMENT 7 - Wolf Creek Cost Model

WolfCreek - Water Quality Board 20 Year Loan Static Cost Model with Projected Impact Fees

Project Costs	
Legal/Bonding	\$ 30,000
DWQ Loan Origination Fee	\$ 65,000
Pre-Construction Engineering & CMS	\$ 1,276,788
Construction - Reuse Pond	\$ 4,920,918
Contingency (30%) - Reuse Pond	\$ 1,471,559
Construction - Reuse Water Pump Station	\$ 1,202,733
Construction - Reuse Water delivery pipeline	\$ 1,473,502
Contingency (15%) Reuse Water Pump Station and Pipeline	\$ 401,437
Total Project Cost:	\$ 10,441,937
Project Funding	
Lo cal Contribution	\$ 503,935
ARPA Weber County	\$ 1,850,000
GOEO (Local Matching and Innovation Water)	\$ 1,500,000
WQB Funding	\$ 6,588,002
Total Project Funding:	\$ 10,441,937

Current Customer Base & User Charges								
Initial Total Customer (ERU's)	1,157							
MAGI for Eden (2020):	\$77,600							
Affordable Monthly Rate at 1.49	\$90.53							
Impact Fee (per ERU):	\$4,573							
Current Monthly Fee (per ERU)	\$55.00							
Existing Sewer Debt Service	\$638,894							
O&M Expenses	\$266,000							
New Homes per year	126							
Impact Fees Collected	\$576,198							

Funding Conditions

Loan Repayment Term:	20
Reserve Funding Period:	6

	ESTIMATED COST OF SEWER SERVICE- 20 Year												
WQB Loan	WQB Loan Interest Rate	WQB Debt Service	WQB Loan Reserve	Market Loan Interest Rate	Market Loan Amount	Market Loan Debt Service	Annual Sewer O&M Cost	Existing Debt Service	Total Annual Sewer Cost	Monthly Sewer Cost/ ERU	Financial Burden Indicator	Sewer Cost as % of MAGI	
6,468,122	0.00%	80,852	323,406	3.70%	0	0	266,000	638,894	732,954	52.79	LOW	0.82%	
6,468,122	2.00%	98,892	395,569	3.70%	119,880	8,588	266,000	638,894	831,746	59.91	LOW	0.93%	
3,234,061	2.00%	49,446	197,785	3.70%	3,353,941	240,278	266,000	638,894	816,205	58.79	LOW	0.91%	
6,468,122	3.50%	113,776	455,104	3.70%	119,880	8,588	266,000	638,894	906,165	65.27	LOW	1.01%	
3,234,061	3.50%	56,888	227,552	3.70%	3,353,941	240,278	266,000	638,894	853,414	61.47	LOW	0.95%	
2,000,000	3.50%	35,181	140,722	3.70%	4,588,002	328,686	266,000	638,894	833,285	60.02	LOW	0.93%	
0	3.50%	0	0	3.70%	6,588,002	471,967	266,000	638,894	800,663	57.67	LOW	0.89%	

ATTACHMENT 8- South Davis Cost Model

ATTACHMENT 1 SDSD North Plant - Water Quality Board 20 Year Loan Static Cost Model

Project Costs	
Legal/Bonding	\$ 30,000
Loan Origination Fee	\$ 537,000
Engineering - Design	
Engineering - CMS	\$ 6,735,000
Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$ 37,956,000
Contingency (13%)	\$ 8,979,000
Total Project Cost:	\$ 54,237,000
Project Funding	
Local Contribution	\$ 5,000,000
Amount to be Funded	\$ 49,237,000
WQB Grant	\$ -
Total Project Cost:	\$ 54,237,000

Current Customer Base & User Charges	
Initial Total Customer (ERU's)	43,766
MAGI for SDSD (2020):	\$57,603
Affordable Monthly Rate at 1.4%	\$67.20
Impact Fee (per ERU):	\$2,453
Current Monthly Fee (per ERU)	\$26.00
Debt Service	\$1,401,950
Annual O&M expense	\$8,000,000

Funding Conditions

Loan Repayment Term:	20
Reserve Funding Period:	6

ESTIMATED COST OF SEWER SERVICE

WQB Loan	Private Loan Amount	WQB Loan Interest Rate	Private Loan Interest Rate*	WQB Loan Debt Service	WQB Loan Reserve	Private Loan Debt Service	Annual Sewer	Existing Debt Service	Total Annual Sewer Cost	Monthly Sewer Cost/ ERU	Sewer Cost as % of MAGI	Financial Burden
0	49,237,000	0.00%	4.50%	0	0	3,785,151	8,000,000	1401950	13,187,101	25.11	0.52%	LOW
45,000,000	4,237,000	0.00%	4.50%	2,250,000	562,500	325,724	8,000,000	1401950	12,540,174	23.88	0.50%	LOW
40,000,000	9,237,000	3.50%	4.50%	2,814,443	703,611	710,105	8,000,000	1401950	13,630,109	25.95	0.54%	LOW
35,000,000	14,237,000	3.50%	4.50%	2,462,638	615,659	1,094,486	8,000,000	1401950	13,574,733	25.85	0.54%	LOW
30,000,000	19,237,000	3.50%	4.50%	2,110,832	527,708	1,478,866	8,000,000	1401950	13,519,357	25.74	0.54%	LOW
25,000,000	24,237,000	3.50%	4.50%	1,759,027	439,757	1,863,247	8,000,000	1401950	13,463,981	25.64	0.53%	LOW
20,000,000	29,237,000	3.50%	4.50%	1,407,222	351,805	2,247,628	8,000,000	1401950	13,408,605	25.53	0.53%	LOW
15,000,000	34,237,000	3.50%	4.50%	1,055,416	263,854	2,632,009	8,000,000	1401950	13,353,229	25.43	0.53%	LOW
10,000,000	39,237,000	3.50%	4.50%	703,611	175,903	3,016,389	8,000,000	1401950	13,297,853	25.32	0.53%	LOW
5,000,000	44,237,000	3.50%	4.50%	351,805	87,951	3,400,770	8,000,000	1401950	13,242,477	25.21	0.53%	LOW
			*Staff Estimate									

	_		-	
0.1				-

FNI Calculation							
	Local Value	State Value	Score	Weighting Factor	Weighting Score	Table **	
Unemployment Rate	2.5%	3.5%	1.50	4	6.00	S2301	
Poverty Rate	5.7%	8.8%	1.00	2.5	2.50	S1701	
Threshold LQI	\$ 42,786	\$ 37,685	1.00	2.5	2.50	B19080	
Population Growth Rate	8.4%	19.0%	2.11	1	2.11	B01003	
Financial Need Indicator (Sum of weighted Scores/10)					1.31		
				** https://data.ce	nsus.gov/cedsc	1/	

Financial Burden Matrix								
		Modified MAGI						
FNI	Below 1.4%	1.4% to 1.75%	1.75% to 2.1%	2.1% to 2.45	Above 2.45			
Below 1.5	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	High			
1.5 to 2.5	Low	Medium	Medium	High	High			
Above 2.5	Medium	Medium	High	High	High			